



Urban Flood Resilience: Integrating Smart Infrastructure and Predictive Analytics

Bernard Nkrumah Attobrah¹, Ruth Udu Ohaka², Ebuka Stephen Ifionu³, Njemanze, Emmanuel.C⁴, Ugochukwu Udonna Okonkwo⁵

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, New Mexico, USA

² Geography Department, University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Fall, Iowa, USA

³Department of Built Environment, University of Wolverhampton, UK

⁴Department of Civil Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA

⁵Department of Geography and GIS; Department of Computer Management and Information Systems, Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, USA

Received: 21.03.2026 | Accepted: 22.04.2026 | Published: 23.04.2026

*Corresponding Author: Bernard Nkrumah Attobrah

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19711570](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19711570)

Abstract

Original Research Article

Urban flooding has become an increasingly critical challenge for cities worldwide due to climate change, rapid urbanization, and limitations in conventional infrastructure systems. Traditional flood management approaches that depend on centralized gray infrastructure are no longer sufficient to address the growing complexity and uncertainty of urban flood risks. This study examines the integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics as an innovative approach to improving urban flood resilience. Smart infrastructure enables real time monitoring and adaptive control of urban water systems through technologies such as sensors and automated networks. Predictive analytics, supported by machine learning and data driven models, enhances the ability to forecast flood events and support proactive decision making. The review highlights how the combination of these approaches improves system responsiveness, situational awareness, and risk management. It also identifies key challenges including data limitations, institutional fragmentation, and governance constraints. The study concludes that integrating technological innovation with effective policy and planning frameworks is essential for developing resilient and adaptive urban flood management systems.

Keywords: Urban flood resilience, Smart infrastructure, Predictive analytics, Machine learning, Urban water management.

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

1. Introduction

Urban flooding has emerged as one of the most pressing environmental and infrastructural challenges confronting cities in the twenty-first century. Its increasing frequency and intensity are

closely linked to the combined effects of climate change, rapid urbanization, and unsustainable land-use practices(Chabou et al., 2025; Li et al., 2022). Climate change has amplified hydrological extremes, leading to more intense and unpredictable precipitation events, as well as rising sea levels that



exacerbate coastal flooding. At the same time, rapid urban expansion has fundamentally altered natural drainage patterns (Bao et al., 2025). The proliferation of impervious surfaces such as asphalt, concrete, and rooftops significantly reduces infiltration, increases surface runoff, and overwhelms existing drainage systems. These dynamics place unprecedented strain on conventional urban water infrastructure, much of which was designed based on historical climate conditions that no longer reflect present or future realities (Mukherjee et al., 2025).

Traditional approaches to flood management have proven increasingly inadequate in this evolving context. Historically, urban flood control has relied on centralized, gray infrastructure systems such as stormwater drains, levees, and retention basins (Zhu et al., 2026). While these systems have been effective under stable conditions, they are inherently limited by their static design and lack of adaptability (Manzini et al., 2025). Moreover, conventional flood management strategies tend to be reactive rather than proactive, focusing on post-event response and recovery rather than anticipatory risk reduction. Institutional fragmentation further compounds these limitations, as responsibilities for water management, urban planning, and emergency response are often distributed across multiple agencies with limited coordination (Chabou et al., 2025; L. Wang et al., 2022). As a result, decision-making processes are frequently siloed, hindering the development of integrated and timely responses. Perhaps most critically, traditional systems lack robust predictive capabilities, making it difficult to anticipate flood events with sufficient accuracy and lead time to minimize impacts.

In response to these challenges, there has been a growing shift toward the adoption of smart and data-driven approaches in urban flood management. Advances in digital technologies have enabled the emergence of intelligent infrastructure systems that are capable of real-time monitoring, analysis, and adaptive control. The proliferation of the Internet of Things (IoT) has facilitated the deployment of sensor networks that continuously collect data on rainfall, water levels, and flow dynamics across urban environments (Anik et al., 2025). These data streams, when integrated into broader big data ecosystems,

provide unprecedented opportunities for understanding and managing urban hydrological processes. At the same time, developments in artificial intelligence and machine learning have significantly enhanced predictive capabilities, enabling more accurate forecasting of flood events and supporting data-driven decision-making. Together, these technologies offer the potential to transform urban flood management from a reactive paradigm to a proactive and adaptive system (Agboola et al., 2026; Hlal et al., 2025).

Against this backdrop, the present review seeks to synthesize existing knowledge on the integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics in enhancing urban flood resilience. While a growing body of literature has examined these domains independently, there remains a need for a comprehensive and critical synthesis that explores their convergence and combined potential (Bhanye, 2026; Varzeshi et al., 2025a). This review therefore focuses on how digitally enabled infrastructure systems and advanced analytical techniques can be integrated to improve the capacity of urban areas to anticipate, absorb, and recover from flood events. Particular attention is given to the role of real-time data, intelligent control systems, and predictive modeling in supporting resilient urban water management.

To guide this inquiry, the review is structured around three central research questions. First, how do smart infrastructure systems contribute to improving urban flood resilience? Second, which predictive modeling approaches are most effective in forecasting flood risks and informing decision-making? Third, what technical, institutional, and socio-economic challenges constrain the implementation of these integrated systems? By addressing these questions, the paper aims to provide a critical foundation for future research and inform the development of more adaptive, data-driven, and resilient urban flood management strategies.

2. Conceptual Foundations of Urban Flood Resilience

Urban flood resilience has evolved into a central concept in contemporary urban water management,

reflecting a shift from traditional risk control toward adaptive and systems-based approaches(Chen et al., 2024). At its core, urban flood resilience refers to the capacity of cities to withstand, manage, and recover from flood events while maintaining essential functions and minimizing long-term disruptions. This concept extends beyond mere resistance to flooding; it encompasses a broader set of capabilities, including the ability to absorb impacts, recover efficiently, and adapt to changing environmental conditions over time(M. Gao et al., 2022). Resistance involves the capacity of physical systems to prevent or reduce flood impacts, such as through engineered defenses. Absorption refers to the ability of urban systems to accommodate excess water without catastrophic failure, often through

flexible or distributed solutions. Recovery emphasizes the speed and effectiveness with which systems and communities can return to normal or improved states following a flood event. Adaptation, perhaps the most forward-looking dimension, involves learning from past events and adjusting infrastructure, policies, and behaviors to better cope with future risks(Orimoogunje & Aniramu, 2025).

Understanding urban flood resilience requires a multidimensional perspective that integrates physical, social, institutional, and technological components. Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual interactions among natural, social, and built systems that shape urban flood resilience(Peiris, 2024).



Figure 1. Conceptual framework of urban flood resilience integrating natural, social, and built systems with data driven interactions.

The framework shows system interactions, disturbances, and key resilience processes including vulnerability, adaptive capacity, and risk mitigation. *(Adapted from Peiris, 2024)*

The physical dimension relates to the robustness and functionality of infrastructure systems, including drainage networks, flood barriers, and urban design features that influence water flow. However, resilience is not solely determined by infrastructure performance. Social factors, such as community awareness, preparedness, and adaptive capacity, play a critical role in shaping how populations respond to and recover from flooding (Prashar et al., 2023). Institutional capacity is equally important, as effective governance structures, policy frameworks, and inter-agency coordination are necessary to support coherent and timely responses. In recent years, the technological dimension has gained prominence, particularly with the rise of digital monitoring systems, real-time data platforms, and predictive tools that enhance situational awareness and decision-making (Lian et al., 2025; Orimoogunje & Aniramu, 2025).

These dimensions are often conceptualized within a resilience cycle framework, which captures the dynamic and iterative nature of resilience processes. This cycle typically includes four interconnected phases: preparedness, response, recovery, and adaptation. Preparedness involves proactive measures such as risk assessment, planning, and early warning systems. Response refers to immediate actions taken during a flood event to protect lives and property. Recovery focuses on restoring functionality and rebuilding affected systems, while adaptation involves incorporating lessons learned into future planning and design. Importantly, this cycle is not linear but continuous, emphasizing the need for ongoing learning and system improvement (Kordi & Ertz, 2026; Msongole et al., 2026).

The conceptualization of urban flood resilience is also closely linked to broader transitions in infrastructure paradigms. Historically, flood management has been dominated by gray infrastructure, including concrete channels, pipes, and levees designed to control and convey water.

While effective in certain contexts, these systems are often rigid and limited in their capacity to cope with extreme or uncertain conditions. In response, there has been a growing emphasis on green infrastructure, which leverages natural processes through solutions such as wetlands, green roofs, and permeable surfaces to enhance infiltration and storage. More recently, the integration of digital technologies has given rise to smart hybrid systems that combine physical infrastructure with sensing, data analytics, and automated control. These systems represent a significant advancement in urban flood resilience, enabling more adaptive, responsive, and data-driven management of urban water systems (Kim & Kim, 2025; Lloyd et al., 2026).

3. Smart Infrastructure for Urban Flood Management

The increasing complexity and unpredictability of urban flooding have necessitated a transition toward more intelligent and adaptive infrastructure systems. Smart infrastructure, in the context of urban flood management, refers to the integration of digital technologies with physical water systems to enable real-time monitoring, data-driven decision-making, and automated responses. Unlike conventional infrastructure, which operates based on fixed design parameters, smart infrastructure is dynamic, responsive, and capable of adjusting to changing environmental conditions (X. Gao et al., 2025). This shift represents a fundamental transformation in how urban water systems are designed, managed, and optimized.

At the core of smart infrastructure are several interrelated components that collectively enhance system functionality. Internet of Things (IoT) sensors play a pivotal role by enabling continuous data collection across urban environments. These sensors are deployed in strategic locations such as drainage networks, rivers, and flood-prone areas to measure variables including rainfall intensity, water levels, flow rates, and soil moisture. Complementing these are smart drainage systems, which incorporate automated control mechanisms within traditional stormwater infrastructure. Remote sensing technologies, including satellite imagery and radar

systems, provide broader spatial coverage and are particularly useful for monitoring large-scale hydrological patterns. These elements are interconnected through communication networks that facilitate the seamless transmission of data between sensors, processing platforms, and decision-making systems(Almutairi et al., 2024; Pandey et al., 2025). Together, these components form the backbone of digitally enhanced urban flood management systems.

One of the most significant advancements enabled by smart infrastructure is the development of IoT-based monitoring systems. These systems allow for the real-time collection and transmission of hydrological data, providing urban managers with up-to-date information on evolving conditions. For instance, rainfall sensors can detect localized precipitation events with high temporal resolution, while water level sensors installed in drainage channels or retention basins can identify rising flood risks before they become critical. This continuous stream of data enhances situational awareness and supports early warning systems, enabling authorities to take proactive measures rather than reacting after flooding has already occurred. Furthermore, the granularity of IoT data allows for more precise spatial analysis, which is particularly valuable in heterogeneous urban landscapes where flood risks can vary significantly over short distances(Alzahrani et al., 2025; Borankulova et al., 2025).

Beyond monitoring, smart infrastructure also enables active control of urban water systems through adaptive drainage mechanisms. Smart drainage systems are equipped with automated components such as pumps, valves, and gates that can be controlled remotely or autonomously based on real-time data inputs. These systems facilitate dynamic regulation of water flows, allowing operators to optimize the use of existing infrastructure capacity. For example, during heavy rainfall events, water can be redirected to underutilized storage areas or temporarily retained to prevent downstream flooding. This level of control enhances the efficiency and flexibility of urban drainage networks, transforming them from passive conduits into actively managed systems capable of responding to

changing conditions(Silva et al., 2025; Taloma et al., 2025).

Another emerging innovation in this domain is the use of digital twins, which are virtual representations of physical urban systems. Digital twins integrate real-time data from sensors with computational models to simulate the behavior of infrastructure under various scenarios. This enables urban planners and engineers to test flood scenarios, evaluate intervention strategies, and anticipate system responses before implementing changes in the real world. By providing a dynamic and interactive platform for analysis, digital twins support more informed decision-making and facilitate long-term planning for flood resilience(Hossain et al., 2026).

The effective operation of smart infrastructure systems is heavily dependent on advanced computing capabilities, particularly cloud and edge computing. Cloud computing provides scalable storage and processing power, enabling the handling of large volumes of data generated by sensor networks and remote sensing platforms. It also supports the integration of multiple data sources into unified platforms for analysis and visualization. Edge computing, on the other hand, allows data processing to occur closer to the source, reducing latency and enabling faster response times. This is particularly important in flood management contexts, where timely decision-making can significantly reduce impacts. The combination of cloud and edge computing thus ensures both efficiency and responsiveness in smart infrastructure systems(Ficili et al., 2025; Verde Romero et al., 2024).

Despite their considerable potential, smart infrastructure systems are not without limitations and risks. One of the primary challenges is the high cost associated with installation, operation, and maintenance, which can be prohibitive for many cities, particularly in developing regions. Maintenance complexity is another concern, as sensor networks and automated systems require regular calibration, repair, and technical expertise to function effectively. Additionally, the increasing reliance on digital technologies introduces cybersecurity vulnerabilities, raising concerns about data integrity and system reliability. Unauthorized

access or system failures could compromise critical infrastructure operations, with potentially severe consequences during flood events (Hynek et al., 2026; Jørgensen & Ma, 2025).

In sum, smart infrastructure represents a transformative approach to urban flood management, offering enhanced monitoring, control, and predictive capabilities. However, realizing its full potential requires careful consideration of technical, financial, and institutional challenges, as well as robust strategies to ensure system reliability and security.

4. Predictive Analytics in Urban Flood Risk Management

Predictive analytics has become an essential pillar of contemporary urban flood risk management, enabling a transition from reactive response strategies to anticipatory and data-driven decision-making. Broadly defined, predictive analytics refers to the use of historical and real-time data, statistical techniques, and computational models to forecast future events (Shehu et al., 2025). In the context of urban flooding, these approaches are used to estimate the likelihood, timing, and spatial extent of flood events, thereby supporting early warning systems, infrastructure planning, and emergency response. Over time, predictive analytics in this domain has evolved from traditional statistical methods toward more sophisticated artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques, reflecting advances in computational power, data availability, and algorithmic development (Aljohani, 2023; Chang et al., 2025).

Historically, flood prediction has relied heavily on hydrological and hydraulic modeling, which are grounded in physical principles governing water movement. Hydrological models simulate processes such as rainfall-runoff transformation, while hydraulic models focus on the flow of water through channels, pipes, and floodplains. Widely used deterministic models, such as the Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) and the Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS), have long been central to urban flood analysis. These models offer strong interpretability and are

based on well-established physical laws, making them valuable for infrastructure design and regulatory applications (Mkhonta et al., 2026). However, they are often computationally intensive, require extensive calibration, and depend on high-quality input data, which may not always be available in rapidly changing urban environments (Anik et al., 2025; Gacu et al., 2025). Additionally, their ability to capture complex, nonlinear interactions in highly urbanized systems can be limited.

In response to these constraints, machine learning techniques have gained increasing prominence as complementary or alternative approaches to flood prediction. Machine learning models excel at identifying patterns and relationships within large datasets, often without requiring explicit representation of underlying physical processes (Duiker et al., 2025). A range of algorithms has been applied in this context, including Random Forest, Support Vector Machines, and Artificial Neural Networks. More recently, deep learning models such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have demonstrated significant potential in capturing spatiotemporal dynamics of flooding. These techniques are particularly well suited for handling large, complex datasets and can provide highly accurate predictions when trained on sufficient data (Razzaq & Shah, 2025).

The applications of machine learning in urban flood risk management are diverse. They include rainfall-runoff modeling, where models learn the relationship between precipitation inputs and runoff outputs; flood prediction, where future water levels or flood probabilities are estimated; and flood extent mapping, which involves predicting the spatial distribution of inundation (Jang et al., 2025). In many cases, these models can operate in near real-time, making them valuable for early warning systems and operational decision-making. However, their performance is highly dependent on data quality and quantity, and they may struggle to generalize beyond the conditions represented in their training datasets (Khurram et al., 2025).

The effectiveness of predictive analytics is further enhanced by the integration of big data from multiple

sources. Advances in remote sensing technologies have enabled the use of satellite imagery to monitor precipitation, land use, and surface water dynamics at large spatial scales. Weather datasets, including radar-based rainfall estimates and meteorological forecasts, provide critical inputs for short-term prediction models (Manyaka et al., 2026; Pan et al., 2025). In addition, the emergence of social sensing where data is collected from social media platforms, mobile devices, and citizen reports offers new opportunities to capture real-time information on flooding events, particularly in areas where conventional monitoring infrastructure is limited. The integration of these diverse data streams allows for more comprehensive and context-aware modeling of urban flood risks (J. Wang et al., 2026).

A particularly promising direction in the field is the development of hybrid modeling approaches that combine the strengths of physics-based and data-driven methods. By integrating hydrological and hydraulic models with machine learning algorithms, hybrid models can leverage both domain knowledge and data-driven insights. For example, machine learning techniques can be used to calibrate or enhance traditional models, reduce computational demands, or fill data gaps. Conversely, physical models can provide constraints that improve the interpretability and generalizability of machine learning outputs. This synergy has been shown to improve predictive accuracy and robustness, particularly in complex urban environments where purely deterministic or purely data-driven approaches may fall short (Zhang et al., 2025).

Despite these advancements, predictive analytics in urban flood management is subject to several important limitations and uncertainties. Data quality

remains a critical concern, as inaccurate, incomplete, or inconsistent data can significantly affect model performance. This is particularly challenging in regions with limited monitoring infrastructure or fragmented data systems. Model interpretability is another key issue, especially for complex machine learning and deep learning models, which are often described as “black boxes.” This lack of transparency can hinder trust and limit their adoption in policy and decision-making contexts. Additionally, overfitting where a model performs well on training data but poorly on unseen data poses a persistent risk, particularly when models are trained on limited or unrepresentative datasets (Manyaka et al., 2026; Yu et al., 2025).

In summary, predictive analytics has transformed the landscape of urban flood risk management by enabling more accurate, timely, and data-driven forecasting. While traditional models continue to play a foundational role, the integration of machine learning and big data approaches offers significant opportunities to enhance predictive capabilities. However, addressing challenges related to data quality, model transparency, and uncertainty will be essential to fully realize the potential of these technologies in building resilient urban systems (Bibbò et al., 2025).

5. Integration of Smart Infrastructure and Predictive Analytics

To provide a structured comparison of the evolution of urban flood management approaches, Table 1 summarizes the key characteristics of traditional systems, smart infrastructure, predictive analytics, and their integrated application.

Table 1 : Comparison of Traditional, Smart Infrastructure, and Predictive Analytics Approaches in Urban Flood Management

Dimension	Traditional Systems	Smart Infrastructure	Predictive Analytics	Integrated Approach
Core concept	Static flood control	Real-time monitoring and control	Data-driven forecasting	Adaptive and proactive system (Hakim et al., 2024)

Key technologies	Drains, levees, retention basins	IoT sensors, smart drainage, digital twins	Machine learning, hydrological models	Integrated sensors + AI + control systems(Sharifi et al., 2024)
Data usage	Limited, historical	Real-time data collection	Historical + real-time data	Continuous data feedback loop(da Costa et al., 2024)
Response type	Reactive	Semi-adaptive	Predictive	Fully adaptive(Shehadeh et al., 2024)
Decision-making	Manual	Assisted	Model-based	Automated + human-in-the-loop(Kallmes et al., 2025)
Strengths	Proven, simple	Real-time awareness	High prediction accuracy	High resilience and efficiency(Urs & Sudharshan, 2025)
Limitations	Inflexible, outdated	High cost, maintenance	Data dependency, black box issues	Governance and integration challenges(Park, 2025)
Role in resilience	Resistance	Absorption	Anticipation	Full resilience cycle(Manzini et al., 2025)

The integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics represents a critical advancement in the evolution of urban flood management, enabling cities to move toward more adaptive, responsive, and anticipatory systems. While each domain smart infrastructure and predictive modeling offers distinct advantages, their true transformative potential lies in their convergence within a unified operational framework. This integration facilitates the seamless flow of data from physical environments to analytical platforms and ultimately into decision-making processes, thereby enhancing the overall resilience of urban systems(Varzeshi et al., 2025b; Zhu et al., 2026).

At the core of this integration is a layered system architecture that supports continuous data exchange and processing. Typically, this architecture begins with distributed sensor networks embedded within urban infrastructure, which collect real-time data on hydrological and environmental variables such as rainfall intensity, water levels, and flow rates. These data are transmitted through communication networks to centralized or cloud-based data platforms, where they are stored, processed, and integrated with other data sources. Advanced analytics, including machine learning models and hydrological simulations, are then applied to

generate forecasts, detect anomalies, and assess flood risks(Ibiam et al., 2023). The outputs of these analyses are subsequently fed into decision support systems, which provide actionable insights for urban managers, emergency responders, and automated control mechanisms. This end-to-end data pipeline from sensors to decision systems forms the backbone of integrated urban flood resilience frameworks(Manchanda et al., 2026; Yan et al., 2025).

One of the most significant outcomes of this integration is the development of real-time decision support systems. These systems leverage continuous data streams and predictive models to provide timely and context-specific information that can guide operational decisions. For instance, early warning systems can issue alerts based on predicted flood thresholds, allowing authorities and communities to take preventive actions such as evacuation or temporary infrastructure adjustments. In parallel, emergency response systems can optimize the allocation of resources, including personnel, equipment, and evacuation routes, based on real-time assessments of flood conditions. By enabling faster and more informed decision-making, these systems significantly reduce the potential impacts of flood events(Aljohani, 2023; Wei et al., 2025).

A defining feature of integrated smart and predictive systems is the incorporation of feedback mechanisms that support continuous learning and adaptation. Unlike traditional infrastructure, which operates based on fixed parameters, integrated systems are capable of dynamically adjusting their behavior in response to changing conditions (Nsoh et al., 2024). Real-time monitoring data can be used to update predictive models, improving their accuracy over time. Similarly, automated infrastructure components such as smart gates, pumps, and valves can respond to predictive outputs by adjusting water flows in anticipation of flood events. This creates a closed-loop system in which sensing, analysis, and action are continuously aligned. Such adaptive capabilities are particularly valuable in urban environments characterized by uncertainty and variability, as they enable systems to respond not only to current conditions but also to anticipated future scenarios (Bibri & Huang, 2025).

The application of integrated smart infrastructure and predictive analytics is increasingly evident across diverse urban contexts. In many developed cities, advanced flood management systems have been implemented that combine extensive sensor networks with real-time analytics and automated control. These systems often operate within broader smart city frameworks, where data from multiple sectors are integrated to support holistic urban management (Tao et al., 2024). At the same time, rapidly urbanizing regions are beginning to adopt similar approaches, albeit often in more localized or incremental forms (Ubani et al., 2021). In these contexts, the integration of smart and predictive systems offers an opportunity to leapfrog traditional infrastructure limitations and build more resilient systems from the outset. However, the scale and sophistication of implementation can vary significantly depending on available resources, institutional capacity, and technological infrastructure (Chang et al., 2025; Saputra et al., 2025).

The benefits of integrating smart infrastructure with predictive analytics are substantial and multifaceted. One of the most notable advantages is the enhancement of predictive accuracy, as real-time data inputs allow models to be continuously updated

and refined. This leads to more reliable forecasts and better-informed decision-making. Additionally, the integration enables faster response times, as automated systems and real-time alerts reduce delays in detecting and responding to flood risks. Resource allocation is also improved, as decision-makers can prioritize interventions based on precise, data-driven assessments of vulnerability and risk. Over time, these efficiencies can translate into significant cost savings and reduced damage from flood events (Cina et al., 2025).

In summary, the integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics marks a paradigm shift in urban flood management, transforming it into a proactive, adaptive, and data-driven process. By linking real-time sensing, advanced analytics, and responsive decision systems, integrated frameworks provide a powerful foundation for enhancing urban flood resilience. However, realizing these benefits at scale requires not only technological innovation but also coordinated governance, sustained investment, and a commitment to system interoperability and continuous improvement.

6. Governance, Policy, and Institutional Challenges

Despite the growing potential of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics to enhance urban flood resilience, their implementation is often constrained by significant governance, policy, and institutional challenges (Bhanye, 2025). These challenges are not merely technical but are deeply embedded in the organizational and regulatory structures that shape urban water management. As such, addressing them is essential for realizing the full benefits of integrated, data-driven flood management systems (Zhu et al., 2026).

One of the most persistent barriers is the fragmentation of institutional responsibilities. Urban flood management typically involves multiple agencies, including those responsible for water resources, urban planning, emergency management, and environmental regulation. These entities often operate within distinct mandates and administrative frameworks, leading to overlapping responsibilities and limited coordination (Chabou et al., 2025). Such

fragmentation can result in inefficiencies, delays in decision-making, and inconsistencies in policy implementation. In the context of smart and predictive systems, which rely on seamless data exchange and coordinated action, the lack of institutional integration poses a significant obstacle. Without clear governance structures and mechanisms for collaboration, the potential of these technologies to support holistic and timely responses remains underutilized (Mukherjee et al., 2025).

Closely related to institutional fragmentation are challenges associated with data governance. The effectiveness of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics depends heavily on the availability, accessibility, and quality of data (Bernardo et al., 2024). However, issues of data ownership and control often complicate data sharing across agencies and sectors. In many cases, data are held by different organizations with varying policies and incentives, leading to siloed information systems that hinder integration (Bozkurt et al., 2025). Privacy and security concerns further exacerbate these challenges, particularly when data involve sensitive information or are transmitted through interconnected digital networks. Ensuring the protection of such data while enabling its effective use requires robust governance frameworks and clear regulatory guidelines (Georgescu & Schmuck, 2025). Additionally, limited interoperability between data systems stemming from differences in formats, standards, and platforms can impede the integration of diverse data sources, reducing the overall effectiveness of predictive models and decision support systems.

Policy and regulatory gaps also play a critical role in constraining the adoption of smart flood management systems. In many jurisdictions, existing policies have not kept pace with technological advancements, resulting in a lack of clear frameworks for the deployment, operation, and maintenance of smart infrastructure (Bibri & Huang, 2025). This includes uncertainties around standards, liability, and accountability, which can discourage investment and innovation. Furthermore, the integration of smart technologies into urban planning processes remains limited. Urban development policies often prioritize short-term economic

objectives over long-term resilience, and the incorporation of data-driven systems into planning and design is not yet widespread (Fernandes, 2025). Bridging this gap requires the development of forward-looking policies that explicitly recognize the role of digital technologies in enhancing urban resilience and provide guidance for their implementation.

Equity and inclusivity represent another critical dimension of governance challenges in this domain. The deployment of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics has the potential to exacerbate existing inequalities if not carefully managed. The digital divide characterized by unequal access to technology, data, and technical expertise can result in uneven distribution of benefits, with more affluent areas receiving greater protection and investment. Similarly, marginalized communities may be excluded from decision-making processes or lack access to early warning systems and other resilience-enhancing tools. Addressing these disparities requires deliberate efforts to ensure that smart flood management systems are inclusive, accessible, and responsive to the needs of all urban residents (Conceição & Stappen, 2025).

In summary, governance, policy, and institutional challenges constitute a critical barrier to the effective integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics in urban flood management. Overcoming these challenges will require coordinated efforts to enhance institutional collaboration, establish robust data governance frameworks, update regulatory systems, and promote equitable access to technological innovations.

7. Socio-Technical and Ethical Considerations

The deployment of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics in urban flood management is not solely a technical endeavor; it is inherently socio-technical, involving complex interactions between technological systems, human actors, and institutional contexts. One of the central issues in this regard is the nature of human-technology interaction, particularly the degree of trust placed in automated systems. As decision-making increasingly relies on algorithmic outputs and real-time data

streams, questions arise regarding the reliability, transparency, and accountability of these systems (Bhanye, 2026). Urban managers and emergency responders must be able to understand and justify decisions informed by predictive models, especially in high-stakes situations such as flood emergencies (Fernandes, 2025). The challenge lies in balancing automation with human oversight, ensuring that technological systems support rather than replace critical human judgment.

Ethical considerations further complicate the adoption of these technologies. The extensive use of sensors, surveillance systems, and data collection platforms raises concerns about privacy and the potential misuse of information. In urban environments, where monitoring systems may capture data on human activities as well as environmental conditions, the boundaries between public safety and individual privacy can become blurred (Dhirani et al., 2023). Additionally, algorithmic bias presents a significant risk, particularly when predictive models are trained on incomplete or unrepresentative datasets. Such biases can lead to unequal risk assessments or resource allocation, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations. Ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability in algorithmic decision-making is therefore essential to the ethical deployment of predictive analytics in flood management (Dhirani et al., 2023).

Equally important is the role of community engagement in shaping resilient and inclusive systems. Effective urban flood management cannot rely solely on top-down technological solutions; it must also incorporate the knowledge, experiences, and priorities of local communities. Participatory governance approaches, which involve stakeholders in decision-making processes, can enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of flood management strategies. Local knowledge, particularly in areas with limited formal data infrastructure, can provide valuable insights into flood patterns, vulnerabilities, and coping mechanisms. Integrating such knowledge with technological systems can lead to more context-sensitive and socially responsive solutions (Bhanye, 2025).

In sum, socio-technical and ethical considerations are central to the successful implementation of smart and predictive flood management systems. Addressing issues of trust, accountability, privacy, and inclusivity is essential not only for ensuring ethical integrity but also for fostering public acceptance and long-term sustainability.

8. Challenges and Research Gaps

While significant progress has been made in the development of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics for urban flood management, several challenges and research gaps continue to limit their effectiveness and widespread adoption. These challenges span technical, methodological, practical, and geographic dimensions, highlighting the need for more comprehensive and coordinated research efforts.

From a technical perspective, one of the primary challenges lies in the integration of heterogeneous data systems. Urban flood management relies on diverse data sources, including sensor networks, remote sensing platforms, meteorological datasets, and socio-economic information. These data often differ in format, scale, resolution, and quality, making integration a complex task. Achieving interoperability across systems requires standardized protocols and robust data architectures, which are not yet fully developed in many contexts. Additionally, real-time processing constraints pose significant challenges, particularly as the volume and velocity of data continue to increase. Ensuring that predictive models can process and analyze data rapidly enough to support timely decision-making remains an ongoing area of research.

Methodologically, there is a notable lack of standardized metrics for evaluating the performance of predictive models and smart infrastructure systems. Existing studies often use different indicators, datasets, and evaluation frameworks, making it difficult to compare results or generalize findings. This lack of consistency limits the ability to identify best practices and hinders the development of universally applicable solutions. Furthermore, there is a scarcity of benchmarking studies that systematically compare different modeling

approaches under similar conditions. Such studies are essential for understanding the relative strengths and limitations of various techniques and for guiding their practical application.

Implementation barriers also play a significant role in constraining the adoption of these technologies. High capital costs associated with the deployment of sensor networks, data platforms, and automated infrastructure can be prohibitive, particularly for cities with limited financial resources. In addition, scalability remains a key concern. Solutions that perform well in pilot projects or controlled environments may encounter difficulties when applied at larger scales or in more complex urban settings. Addressing these challenges requires not only technological innovation but also sustainable financing models and institutional support.

Finally, there is a pronounced geographic imbalance in the existing body of research. Much of the literature on smart flood management and predictive analytics is concentrated in developed regions, with relatively limited attention given to cities in the Global South. This is particularly concerning given that many of these regions are highly vulnerable to flooding and face significant constraints in terms of infrastructure, data availability, and institutional capacity. Expanding research to include diverse geographic contexts is essential for developing more inclusive and globally relevant solutions.

Overall, addressing these challenges and research gaps will be critical for advancing the field and ensuring that smart and predictive approaches to urban flood management can be effectively implemented across a wide range of urban environments.

9. Future Directions

As urban flood risks continue to intensify under the combined pressures of climate change and rapid urbanization, future research and practice must move beyond incremental improvements toward more transformative, integrated, and adaptive approaches. One of the most promising areas of advancement lies in the evolution of artificial intelligence and predictive modeling techniques. In particular, the

development of explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) offers a pathway to address longstanding concerns regarding the transparency and interpretability of complex models. By making model outputs more understandable to decision-makers, XAI can enhance trust, facilitate adoption in policy contexts, and support more accountable decision-making processes. Similarly, federated learning presents a novel approach to collaborative model development, enabling multiple institutions or cities to share insights and improve predictive performance without directly exchanging sensitive data (Chabou et al., 2025; Orimoogunje & Aniramu, 2025). This is especially relevant in contexts where data privacy and ownership constraints limit centralized data integration.

Another critical direction involves the deeper integration of flood management systems within broader smart city frameworks. Urban flooding does not occur in isolation but interacts with other infrastructure systems, including transportation networks, energy systems, and water supply services. Cross-sector data integration can enable more holistic and coordinated responses, such as adjusting traffic flows during flood events, managing energy distribution in affected areas, or optimizing water storage and reuse. By embedding flood resilience within the wider smart city ecosystem, cities can leverage synergies across sectors and improve overall urban system performance (Zhu et al., 2026).

In parallel, there is growing recognition of the importance of combining technological innovations with nature-based solutions. Green infrastructure, such as wetlands, urban green spaces, and permeable surfaces, plays a vital role in enhancing the capacity of cities to absorb and manage excess water. When integrated with smart monitoring systems and predictive analytics, these nature-based approaches can be optimized and managed more effectively. Such hybrid systems offer the dual benefits of ecological sustainability and technological efficiency, representing a more balanced and resilient approach to urban flood management (Zarei & Shahab, 2025).

Governance innovations will also be essential in supporting these advancements. The development of integrated urban data platforms can facilitate the

sharing and coordination of information across agencies and sectors, addressing many of the challenges associated with fragmented governance structures. In addition, fostering cross-agency collaboration through institutional reforms and policy alignment can enhance the coherence and effectiveness of flood management strategies. These governance mechanisms must be designed to support flexibility, interoperability, and long-term sustainability (Chiroli et al., 2025).

Finally, capacity development remains a foundational requirement for the successful implementation of future flood resilience strategies. Urban planners, engineers, and policymakers must be equipped with the knowledge and skills to engage with emerging technologies and interdisciplinary approaches. This includes not only technical training in data analytics and digital systems but also broader education that integrates engineering, environmental science, and social dimensions of resilience. Building such capacity will be critical for ensuring that cities can effectively design, implement, and manage integrated flood management systems (Zhu et al., 2026).

In sum, future directions in urban flood resilience will depend on the convergence of technological innovation, cross-sector integration, ecological sustainability, governance reform, and human capacity development. These elements must be pursued in a coordinated and inclusive manner to address the growing complexity of urban flood risks.

10. Conclusion

Urban flooding is becoming increasingly frequent, severe, and complex, driven by the combined effects of climate change, rapid urbanization, and evolving environmental conditions. Traditional flood management approaches, which rely heavily on static and reactive infrastructure, are no longer sufficient to address these challenges. In this context, the integration of smart infrastructure and predictive analytics offers a transformative pathway toward more resilient urban systems. By enabling real-time monitoring, data-driven forecasting, and adaptive responses, these technologies significantly enhance

the capacity of cities to anticipate, manage, and recover from flood events.

However, the realization of this potential is contingent upon more than technological advancement alone. Effective integration requires robust governance frameworks that facilitate coordination across institutions, as well as policies that support innovation while ensuring accountability and transparency. Equity considerations must also be central, as unequal access to technology and resources can exacerbate existing vulnerabilities and undermine resilience efforts. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration is essential, bringing together expertise from engineering, data science, urban planning, and social sciences to develop holistic and context-sensitive solutions.

Looking ahead, the future of urban flood resilience lies in the development of systems that are not only technologically advanced but also adaptive, inclusive, and data-driven. Such systems must be capable of learning from experience, responding to uncertainty, and addressing the diverse needs of urban populations. By aligning technological innovation with governance, equity, and collaboration, cities can move toward a more sustainable and resilient approach to flood management in an increasingly uncertain world.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study is a review-based research and does not involve human participants, animals, or primary data collection. Therefore, ethical approval and consent to participate are not applicable.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials

No new datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. All information is derived from

previously published literature, which has been appropriately cited in the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Authors' Contributions

- **Bernard Nkrumah Attobrah:** Conceptualization, study design, supervision, manuscript review and editing.
- **Ruth Udu Ohaka:** Literature review, data synthesis, drafting of manuscript.
- **Ebuka Stephen Ifionu:** Methodological framing, technical content development.
- **Njemanze, Emmanuel C.:** Critical revision, validation of technical sections.
- **Ugochukwu Udonna Okonkwo:** Data interpretation, visualization, manuscript editing.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contributions of researchers and institutions whose published work formed the foundation of this review.

REFERENCES

Agboola, O. P., Uzun, T. I., & Soydaş Çakır, H. (2026). Harnessing adaptive urban service frameworks and smart technologies for sustainable urban development in rapidly urbanising cities.

Sustainable Futures, 11, 101682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2026.101682>

Aljohani, A. (2023). Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning for Real-Time Supply Chain Risk Mitigation and Agility. *Sustainability*, 15(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152015088>

Almutairi, R., Bergami, G., & Morgan, G. (2024). Advancements and Challenges in IoT Simulators: A Comprehensive Review. *Sensors*, 24(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24051511>

Alzahrani, A., Alogla, A., Aljlil, S., & Alshehri, K. (2025). The Role of Smart Infrastructure in Residential Water Demand Management: A Global Survey. *Water*, 17(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w17213119>

Anik, M. S. B. M., An, C., & Li, S. S. (2025). Evolution from the physical process-based approaches to machine learning approaches to predicting urban floods: A literature review. *Environmental Systems Research*, 14(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-025-00409-3>

Bao, Z., Wu, Y., He, W., She, N., & Li, Z. (2025). Intensified Rainfall, Growing Floods: Projecting Urban Drainage Challenges in South-Central China Under Climate Change Scenarios. *Applied Sciences*, 15(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app152111577>

Bernardo, B. M. V., Mamede, H. S., Barroso, J. M. P., & dos Santos, V. M. P. D. (2024). Data governance & quality management—Innovation and breakthroughs across different fields. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(4), 100598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100598>

Bhanye, J. (2025). Flood-tech frontiers: Smart but just? A systematic review of AI-driven urban flood adaptation and associated governance challenges. *Discover Global Society*, 3(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-025-00190-9>

Bhanye, J. (2026). Artificial Intelligence (AI) at the Intersection of Urban Flooding Adaptation. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Global Social Problems* (pp. 1–39). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68127-2_881-1

Bibbò, L., Bilotta, G., Meduri, G. M., Genovese, E., & Barrile, V. (2025). Flood Risk Forecasting: An Innovative Approach with Machine Learning and Markov Chains Using LIDAR Data. *Applied Sciences*, 15(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15137563>

Bibri, S. E., & Huang, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence of things for sustainable smart city brain and digital twin systems: Pioneering Environmental synergies between real-time management and predictive planning. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, 26, 100591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2025.100591>

Borankulova, G., Altybayev, G., Tungatarova, A., Yeraliyeva, B., Dulatbayeva, S., Murzakhmetov, A., & Bekbolatov, S. (2025). Development of Real-Time Water-Level Monitoring System for Agriculture. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 25(17), 5564. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25175564>

Bozkurt, Y., Rossmann, A., Pervez, Z., & Ramzan, N. (2025). Assessing data governance models for smart cities: Benchmarking data governance models on the basis of European urban requirements. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 130, 106528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2025.106528>

Chabou, O., Lazri, Y., Mannucci, S., Ciardiello, A., Rosso, F., & Ferrero, M. (2025). Advancing flood resilience in North African coastal Cities: A contextual analysis of Jijel, Algeria. *City and Environment Interactions*, 28, 100233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cacint.2025.100233>

Chang, L.-C., Yang, M.-T., Liou, J.-Y., Kow, P.-Y., & Chang, F.-J. (2025). Intelligent Urban Flood Management Using Real-Time Forecasting, Multi-Objective Optimization, and Adaptive Pump Operation. *Smart Cities*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities8030091>

Chen, W., Lei, Y., Qi, L., Zheng, J., Huang, G., & Wang, H. (2024). Understanding the evolution trend of urban flood risk and resilience for better flood management. *Ecological Indicators*, 169, 112829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.112829>

Chirolì, D. M. de G., Ferrassa, T. P., Idalgo, L. do N., Mick, M. M. A. P., Kovaleski, J. L., Aragão, F. V.,

Tebcherani, S. M., & Zola, F. C. (2025). Digital Transformation for Smart and Resilient Cities: Assessing Platform Maturity and ISO 37123 Compliance. *Platforms*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/platforms3010003>

Cina, E., Elbasi, E., Elmazi, G., & AlArnaout, Z. (2025). The Role of AI in Predictive Modelling for Sustainable Urban Development: Challenges and Opportunities. *Sustainability*, 17(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17115148>

Conceição, J. M. C., & Stappen, E. van der. (2025). The Impact of AI on Inclusivity in Higher Education: A Rapid Review. *Education Sciences*, 15(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15091255>

da Costa, T. P., da Costa, D. M. B., & Murphy, F. (2024). A systematic review of real-time data monitoring and its potential application to support dynamic life cycle inventories. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 105, 107416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2024.107416>

Dhirani, L. L., Mukhtiar, N., Chowdhry, B. S., & Newe, T. (2023). Ethical Dilemmas and Privacy Issues in Emerging Technologies: A Review. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 23(3), 1151. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031151>

Duiker, M. L., Ramos, V., Taveira-Pinto, F., & Rosa-Santos, P. (2025). Predicting Coastal Flooding and Overtopping with Machine Learning: Review and Future Prospects. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 13(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse13122384>

Fernandes, J. N. (2025). Core Challenges for Sustainable River Flood Management. *Sustainability*, 17(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su172410981>

Ficili, I., Giacobbe, M., Tricomi, G., & Puliafito, A. (2025). From Sensors to Data Intelligence: Leveraging IoT, Cloud, and Edge Computing with AI. *Sensors*, 25(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25061763>

Gacu, J. G., Monjardin, C. E. F., Mangulabnan, R. G. T., & Mendez, J. C. F. (2025). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Hydrological Modeling for

Streamflow Prediction in Ungauged Watersheds: A Review. *Water*, 17(18).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/w17182722>

Gao, M., Wang, Z., & Yang, H. (2022). Review of Urban Flood Resilience: Insights from Scientometric and Systematic Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(14), 8837. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148837>

Gao, X., Wang, P., Yang, Z., Liang, W., Lou, W., & Zhou, J. (2025). A Review of Urban Flood Disaster Chain Research: Causes, Identification, and Assessment. *Water*, 17(23).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/w17233344>

Georgescu, M. R., & Schmuck, M. (2025). Data Governance as the Digital Backbone of Proactive Obsolescence Management: A Design Science Case Study in Asset-Intensive Industries. *Economies*, 13(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies13090272>

Hakim, D. K., Gernowo, R., & Nirwansyah, A. W. (2024). Flood prediction with time series data mining: Systematic review. *Natural Hazards Research*, 4(2), 194–220.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.10.001>

Hlal, M., Munyaka, J.-C. B., Chenal, J., Azmi, R., Diop, E. B., Bounabi, M., Abdem, S. A. E., Almouctar, M. A. S., & Adraoui, M. (2025). Digital Twin Technology for Urban Flood Risk Management: A Systematic Review of Remote Sensing Applications and Early Warning Systems. *Remote Sensing*, 17(17).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/rs17173104>

Hossain, M. I., Hossan, M. R., Shaon, Z. H., & Ferdous, M. N. (2026). Linking digital twin paradigm for urban heat monitoring and policy integration to building smart city climate resilience. *Discover Cities*, 3(1), 1.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s44327-025-00179-8>

Hynek, N., Gavurova, B., Kubak, M., & Senk, M. (2026). Expert views on integrating robots, drones, cameras, and AI into critical infrastructure protection and national security: An opportunity for sustainable entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 22(1), 37.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-026-01177-x>

Ibiam, A., Ubani, C., Okafor, C., Olorunkosebi, M. T., & Fagbemi, B. T. (2023). Epigenetic footprints of heavy metal exposure: Biochemical mechanisms and public health implications. *International Journal of Clinical Biology and Biochemistry*, 5(2), 53–61.
<https://doi.org/10.33545/26646188.2023.v5.i2a.110>

Jang, S.-D., Yoo, J.-H., Lee, Y.-S., & Kim, B. (2025). Flood prediction in urban areas based on machine learning considering the statistical characteristics of rainfall. *Progress in Disaster Science*, 26, 100415.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2025.100415>

Jørgensen, B. N., & Ma, Z. G. (2025). Impact of EU Regulations on AI Adoption in Smart City Solutions: A Review of Regulatory Barriers, Technological Challenges, and Societal Benefits. *Information*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16070568>

Kallmes, K. M., Thurnham, J., Sauca, M., Tarchand, R., Kallmes, K. R., & Holub, K. J. (2025). Human-in-the-Loop Artificial Intelligence System for Systematic Literature Review: Methods and Validations for the AutoLit Review Software. *Cochrane Evidence Synthesis and Methods*, 3(6), e70059. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cesm.70059>

Khurram, M., Zhang, C., Muhammad, S., Kishnani, H., An, K., Abeywardena, K., Chadha, U., & Behdinan, K. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing Industry Worker Safety: A New Paradigm for Hazard Prevention and Mitigation. *Processes*, 13(5).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/pr13051312>

Kim, J.-P., & Kim, J.-O. (2025). Green Infrastructure for Urban Flooding: Knowledge Domains and Research Evolution (2015–2024). *Land*, 14(5).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/land14050921>

Kordi, M., & Ertz, M. (2026). Deciphering technological advancements for efficient disaster management and community resilience. *Technology in Society*, 84, 103057.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.103057>

Li, X., Stringer, L. C., & Dallimer, M. (2022). The Impacts of Urbanisation and Climate Change on the Urban Thermal Environment in Africa. *Climate*, 10(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli10110164>

- Lian, M., Zhang, X., Zhou, J., Wang, Z., & Wang, H. (2025). Resilience Assessment Method of Urban Flooding Prevention and Control System (FPC) Based on Attribute Resilience (AR) and Functional Resilience (FR). *Water*, 17(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w17070964>
- Lloyd, R., Pallathadka, A., Sauer, J., Chang, H., Iwaniec, D. M., Ajibade, I., Cook, E. M., Berbés-Blázquez, M., Grimm, N. B., & Post, G. (2026). From red tape to red infrastructure—Insights from urban flood risk management practitioners in four US cities. *Urban Transitions*, 6, 100036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ubtr.2026.100036>
- Manchanda, R., Lakshmi, A. V., Kaur, G., Sudhamsu, G., Samal, S. K., Jebaselvi, G. D. A., Kumar, R., Bhowmik, A., & Ashok, N. (2026). Energy-efficient wireless sensor network for urban groundwater level monitoring using machine learning and sink mobility. *Scientific Reports*, 16(1), 9474. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-39435-1>
- Manyaka, N., Shoko, C., Gxokwe, S., & Dube, T. (2026). Integrating remote sensing and machine learning for flood modelling: A systematic literature review. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 143, 104315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2026.104315>
- Manzini, D., Oosthuizen, R., & Chikwanda, H. (2025). Enhancing organisational resilience through systems thinking. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 12, 101783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101783>
- Mkhonta, G., Byaruhanga, N., & Kibirige, D. (2026). Towards improved flood prediction: A review of deterministic hydrologic-hydraulic model coupling. *Natural Hazards*, 122(8), 342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-026-07992-3>
- Msongole, A. G., Kanyangale, B. I., Hung, C., Lin, B.-C., Dhanaraman, M., & Lee, C.-H. (2026). From coping to strategy: Community adaptive-capacity pathways for flood resilience under unfolding climate complexities. *Natural Hazards Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2026.02.007>
- Mukherjee, S., Kar, S., Bhattacharyya, T., & Feitelson, E. (2025). Integrated catchment and coastal management for resilient urban flood mitigation under climate change. *Frontiers in Water*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2025.1574309>
- Nsoh, B., Katimbo, A., Guo, H., Heeren, D. M., Nakabuye, H. N., Qiao, X., Ge, Y., Rudnick, D. R., Wanyama, J., Bwambale, E., & Kiraga, S. (2024). Internet of Things-Based Automated Solutions Utilizing Machine Learning for Smart and Real-Time Irrigation Management: A Review. *Sensors*, 24(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24237480>
- Orimoogunje, O., & Aniramu, O. (2025). Systematic review of flood resilience strategies in Lagos Metropolis: Pathways toward the 2030 sustainable development agenda. *Frontiers in Climate*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2025.1603798>
- Pan, D., Deng, Y., Yang, S. X., & Gharabaghi, B. (2025). Recent Advances in Remote Sensing and Artificial Intelligence for River Water Quality Forecasting: A Review. *Environments*, 12(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments12050158>
- Pandey, S., Chaudhary, M., & Tóth, Z. (2025). An investigation on real-time insights: Enhancing process control with IoT-enabled sensor networks. *Discover Internet of Things*, 5(1), 29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43926-025-00124-6>
- Park, C. (2025). Addressing Challenges for the Effective Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in the Energy Sector. *Sustainability*, 17(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17135764>
- Peiris, M. T. O. V. (2024). Assessment of Urban Resilience to Floods: A Spatial Planning Framework for Cities. *Sustainability*, 16(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16209117>
- Prashar, N., Lakra, H. S., Shaw, R., & Kaur, H. (2023). Urban Flood Resilience: A comprehensive review of assessment methods, tools, and techniques to manage disaster. *Progress in Disaster Science*, 20, 100299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2023.100299>
- Razzaq, K., & Shah, M. (2025). Machine Learning and Deep Learning Paradigms: From Techniques to Practical Applications and Research Frontiers.

Computers, 14(3).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/computers14030093>

Saputra, H., Alayham Abbas Helmi, R., Ghazali, M. D., & Sumartini, W. O. (2025). Urban Resilience through IoT-Based Disaster Preparedness and Infrastructure Monitoring: A Systematic Literature Review. *Natural Hazards Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2025.09.005>

Sharifi, A., Tarlani Beris, A., Sharifzadeh Javid, A., Nouri, M., Gholizadeh Lonbar, A., & Ahmadi, M. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence in digital twin models for stormwater infrastructure systems in smart cities. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 61, 102485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2024.102485>

Shehadeh, A., Alshboul, O., Taamneh, M. M., Jaradat, A. Q., & Alomari, A. H. (2024). Enhanced clash detection in building information modeling: Leveraging modified extreme gradient boosting for predictive analytics. *Results in Engineering*, 24, 103439.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.103439>

Shehu, H., Sunday, O., Ojo, D. A., Afolayan, O. N., Adebajo, T. A., Eromosele, E. I., Enabulele, A. B. O., Okpoko, O. A., Okeke, F. C., & Enobakhare, B. O. (2025). Conceptual Framework for Smart Sensor-driven Predictive Maintenance in Infrastructure Management. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 27(9), 25–40.
<https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2025/v27i91623>

Silva, J. B., de Oliveira, L. D., Duarte, R. M., de Rocha Souto, C., & Villanueva, J. M. M. (2025). Smart Water Management: An Energetically Autonomous IoT-Based Application for Pressure and Flow Monitoring in Water Distribution Systems. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 25(23), 7227.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/s25237227>

Taloma, R. J. L., Cuomo, F., Comminiello, D., & Pisani, P. (2025). Machine learning for smart water distribution systems: Exploring applications, challenges and future perspectives. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 58(4), 120.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-11093-7>

Tao, Y., Tian, B., Adhikari, B. R., Zuo, Q., Luo, X., & Di, B. (2024). A Review of Cutting-Edge Sensor

Technologies for Improved Flood Monitoring and Damage Assessment. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 24(21), 7090. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24217090>

Urs, A. L. A. D., & Sudharshan, A. (2025). A multi-factor machine learning framework for predicting and profiling student academic performance using behavioral, financial, and wearable data. *MethodsX*, 15, 103673.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2025.103673>

Varzeshi, S., Fien, J., & Irajifar, L. (2025a). Integrating Smart City Technologies and Urban Resilience: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda for Urban Planning and Design. *Smart Cities*, 9(1).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities9010002>

Varzeshi, S., Fien, J., & Irajifar, L. (2025b). Integrating Smart City Technologies and Urban Resilience: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda for Urban Planning and Design. *Smart Cities*, 9(1).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities9010002>

Verde Romero, D. A., Villalvazo Laureano, E., Jiménez Betancourt, R. O., & Navarro Álvarez, E. (2024). An open source IoT edge-computing system for monitoring energy consumption in buildings. *Results in Engineering*, 21, 101875.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.101875>

Wang, J., Zhang, N., Liu, Y., Liu, M., Wang, X., & Li, Z. (2026). How Does Multi-Source Social Media Data Serve in Urban Flood Information Collection, Recognition, and Analysis? *Water*, 18(3).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/w18030405>

Wang, L., Cui, S., Li, Y., Huang, H., Manandhar, B., Nitivattananon, V., Fang, X., & Huang, W. (2022). A review of the flood management: From flood control to flood resilience. *Heliyon*, 8(11), e11763.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11763>

Wei, Q., Pan, S., Liu, X., Hong, M., Nong, C., & Zhang, W. (2025). The integration of AI in nursing: Addressing current applications, challenges, and future directions. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 12, 1545420.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2025.1545420>

Yan, H., Zhang, M., Tian, S., Wu, L., Mei, X., & Hu, L. (2025). Enhancing Real-Time Hydrological Simulation with IoT-Based Model Representation and Observation Data. *Water*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w18010002>

Yu, J., Li, Y., Huang, X., & Ye, X. (2025). Data quality and uncertainty issues in flood prediction: A systematic review. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 18(1), 2495738. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2025.2495738>

Zarei, M., & Shahab, S. (2025). Nature-Based Solutions in Urban Green Infrastructure: A Systematic Review of Success Factors and Implementation Challenges. *Land*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/land14040818>

Zhang, M., Yao, T., Gu, H., Wang, W., Pan, L., Gu, H., Pei, Y., & Lu, B. (2025). A Hybrid Runoff Forecasting Framework Integrating Hydrological Physics and Data-Driven Models. *Sustainability*, 17(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su172411120>

Zhu, J., Rijal, M., Luo, P., Jiang, H., Chen, J., Mohammed, G., Zhou, M., Lyu, J., Chong, K. L., Apip, & Elbeltagi, A. (2026). Urban flood resilience in a warming world: Evaluating global practices in smart and nature-based solutions. *City and Environment Interactions*, 29, 100309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cacint.2026.100309>